

REPORT OF UNDERWAY pCO<sub>2</sub> MEASUREMENTS IN SURFACE WATERS  
AND THE ATMOSPHERE DURING January - February 2002

(RVIB Nathaniel B. Palmer Cruise 012/1)

S. C. Sutherland, Taro Takahashi, Colm Sweeney

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University  
Palisades, NY 10964

**29 October, 2002**

**1. General**

**1-a) The Cruise:**

This cruise was not part of a recognized large-scale program. The ship departed Punta Arenas on 18 January 2002 and proceeded south to the eastern side of the Antarctic Peninsula. The cruise then went to the western coastal area of the Peninsula, returning to Punta Arenas on 4 March 2002. Our system was started during the transit through Magellan Strait, and was run until arrival there on return. During the northbound transit the nitrogen gas ran out and the system was unusable for about 5 days. It was restarted in time to get the northern half of the Drake Passage crossing.

**1-b) The pCO<sub>2</sub> data:**

The primary source of data are files created by the ships data acquisition system, (RVDAS). A program runs to combined pCO<sub>2</sub> data, thermosalinograph (TSG) data, and several other parameters, such as latitude, longitude, windspeed, water-flow in the uncontaminated seawater line, etc. We used raw pCO<sub>2</sub>, TSG temperature and salinity, and position from the ship's GPS data in the merged RVDAS file. These files have the name: NDP0201.dxxx, where xxx is the 3 digit Julian Date. They are stored in the rawdata subdirectory, and have been merged into rawdata.txt. We then edit rawdata.txt to remove extra observations both before the first set of standards, and after the last one. Further, as the **procpc2.prg** program runs it identifies places where the number of standards is wrong.

Thirty-two seawater and one air observation are made between standard sets. Each observation involves flowing the equilibration gas for 180 seconds. We also flow the air for 180 seconds before stopping for an observation. Details on these and other aspects of the analysis system are explained later.

**Standards:**

Cylinder	Concentration (ppm)
Nitrogen (UHP)	0.0
CC02231	236.29
CC02235	105.20
CC15551	362.8
CA02205	495.18

A 4<sup>th</sup> order calibration curve is used with all 5 standards, as described in Section 2.

Standards flow for about 70 seconds before an observation is made.

**1-c) AIR data:**

There are **943 air observations**. We apply a filter based on a running mean of 9 observations, and reject values outside one standard deviation of that mean. We recalculate a running mean of 9 values, this time using only those which have passed the first filter, and reject those more than one standard deviation away from the mean. The result was **370.73 ± 0.42 ppm (N=540)**.

To calculate  $\Delta p\text{CO}_2$  we use the Global View  $\text{CO}_2$  data, extrapolated from the end of 2000 through the early part of 2002. The last observations in that file are for year 2000. A longterm trend is fitted to these observations, then extrapolated forward. Naturally, there is some uncertainty in this extrapolation, but it should be less than  $\pm 0.5$  ppm. This value goes into the "vco2\_air" and "gvvco2\_air" variables in the **0201sfc** database.

For this cruise we also calculate a sea-air  $p\text{CO}_2$  difference using the LDEO measured and filtered air concentration and store it as a separate variable in the database. The comparison between the two values is as follows:  
Globalview  $v\text{CO}_2$  Air - LDEO  $v\text{CO}_2$  air: **-1.75 ± 0.41 (N=23,370)** So our value is larger by 1.75 ppm. At this time the Globalview value is an **extrapolated** value, and certainly could be wrong by this amount. When the Globalview data for 2001 is released, we will recalculate this database.

**1-d) Editing:**

Generally, several criteria were used to reject data points:

- When the water flow dropped below 35 l/min, and for 6 additional scans to allow the system to return to equilibrium.
- When the flow of sample falls below 20 ml/min.
- When the TSG temperature or equilibration temperature exceeded a specified amount above the running mean temperature.
- Manually selected observations.

The data from this cruise contained a period of about 4 days where the first 4 samples after each standard were unusually low, and were rejected. The problem ended, and the later 2/3 of the cruise was much better. There was also a period early in the cruise where 1 or 2 of the standard flows dropped to near zero, and a 5 day period late in the cruise where the nitrogen carrier/drier/standard gas cylinder ran out. After nitrogen flow was restored the system again seemed to work fine.

There is a large positive spike in  $p\text{CO}_2$  to more than 500  $\mu\text{atm}$ , which was retained. There is no unusual feature such as a temperature or salinity spike, nor any interruption in flow which would indicate a system problem. Also, there is a marked drop in the salinity value, and the ship approached the coast of one of the Antarctic Peninsula islands, probably approaching Palmer Station.

There is a great deal of structure in the data from this cruise. This is probably due to the effect of the islands and constriction of the Circumpolar Current as it enters Drake Passage. The  $p\text{CO}_2$  at *in-situ* temperature ranges from 84 to 530  $\mu\text{atm}$ . The temperature (south of the polar front) also has a large range, from -1.2 to nearly 3°C. Salinity also has a very large range from below 31.75 to nearly 34.5 on the PSS.

We used a mean and standard deviation based analysis technique. A running total of 9 data points are used to calculate mean and standard deviation of the three parameters,  $T_{eq}$ , TSG temperature, and  $T_{eq} - TSG$  Temperature. A minimum standard deviation of 0.1 is assigned where it's calculated value is smaller. This allows times when the temperature is very steady followed by more variation to be preserved. First all values are used to calculate mean and standard deviation. Then we go back through the file, rejecting data points where the temperatures are above the mean by at least one standard deviation (or 0.1). Then we also reject 4 additional data points after each instance of rejecting, to ensure that the system is really back to equilibrium.

After these editing passes there are still values that are outliers, and individual observations and groups of observations are rejected. The criteria here is usually spikes in temperature, particularly where the temperature warms sharply. This normally implies some sort of flow problem, beyond what the water flow sensor is reporting. Salinity spikes also show flow and bubble problems, and that variable is used in a decision to reject observations. Of the **36,155 observations** of seawater  $p\text{CO}_2$  we **rejected 7,041, retaining 29,141 records**. The program **editpco2.prg** performs this editing operation.

To create a **0201sfc.dbf** surface format file, we use only ACCEPTED  $p\text{CO}_2$  values, but ALL the data are retained in the **pco2data.dbf** database in the subdirectory: \NBPALMER\NBP02\_1\SURFACE\PCO2DATA. We estimate the air value from the Globalview CO2database (as explained under 1-d) AIR data. The program **make0201.prg** performs this process. The program also adds salinity from the edited salinity file **saldata.dbf** in the salinity subdirectory (see other data below).

#### **1-f) Other Data:**

Salinity: There is a program, **procsal.prg** in the subdirectory salinity which performs editing of the salinity data. The system uses a mean and standard deviation of 15 data points. There are five passes through the data. Three calculate mean and standard deviation, two perform edits. After each of the first 2 calculation passes, data points are rejected if outside 1 standard deviation. A minimum standard deviation of 0.02 is assigned. After the second editing pass (4th through the data), mean and standard deviation of the remaining data are calculated using only accepted values. Between accepted values the mean is unchanged. This file **saldata.dbf** is then used as the source for salinity in the master file **0201sfc.dbf**.


Wind speed units are meters seconds<sup>-1</sup>.

## 2. MEASUREMENTS OF pCO<sub>2</sub> IN SURFACE WATERS

### 2-a) The LDEO Underway System for Surface Water pCO<sub>2</sub> Measurements:

The system for underway measurements of pCO<sub>2</sub> in surface waters consists of a) a water-air equilibrator, b) a non-dispersive infra-red CO<sub>2</sub> gas analyzer and c) a data logging system. The measurement system is schematically shown in Fig. 1, and is similar with the one described in Bates et al. (1998). Each of these units and the data reduction procedures used will be described below.

Figure 1 - The underway pCO<sub>2</sub> system used for the measurements of pCO<sub>2</sub> in surface waters during the Southern Ocean JGOFS (AESOP) Program.



## **2-b) Water-air Equilibrator:**

The equilibrator has a total volume of about 30 liters and is equipped with a specially designed drain which maintains automatically the level of water in the equilibrator at a constant level at about half the height of the equilibrator leaving about 15 liters of headspace. Seawater from the ship's uncontaminated water line is continuously pumped into the equilibrator at a rate of about 10 liters/min, giving a mean residence time of water in the equilibrator of about 1.5 minutes. The headspace above the water serves as an equilibration chamber. A carrier gas (commonly marine air) is drawn into the chamber by a diaphragm pump, and exchanges CO<sub>2</sub> with a continuous flow of seawater sprayed into the chamber through a shower head. Because of large gas-water contact areas created by fine water droplets as well as gas bubbles in the pool of water, CO<sub>2</sub> equilibration between the carrier gas and seawater is achieved rapidly with a e-folding time of 2 to 3 minutes. Under normal operating conditions, the carrier gas in the equilibration chamber is pumped into the infra-red gas analyzer at a rate of about 50 ml/min. At this rate, the residence time of the carrier gas in the equilibration chamber is about 300 minutes, that is about 100 times as long as the equilibration time. Therefore, the carrier gas in the head space is always in equilibrium with water. The over all response time of the equilibrator system has been estimated to be of an order of several minutes. The large volume of water in the equilibrator is chosen in order to have a large thermal inertia of the equilibrator, so that the effects of room temperature changes on the equilibration temperature may be minimized. The temperature of water in the equilibrator is monitored continuously using a Guildline platinum resistance thermometer (readable to 0.05 °C) and recorded on the data logging computer. A calibrated mercury thermometer is also inserted in the equilibrator for testing the performance of the platinum thermometer.

At the gas intake end of the equilibrator, a flow indicator based on U-tube manometer is attached. This gives a visual confirmation for the fact that marine air is taken into the equilibration chamber at a desired flow rate. Since we operate the system with the equilibration chamber at the same pressure as the ambient room pressure, the total pressure, at which the gas was equilibrated, is measured using a precision electronic barometer (Setra Model 270, Action, MA) outside the equilibrator. This equilibration pressure is also logged on the computer.

The temperature and salinity of seawater at the in situ conditions were measured using a SeaBird Model SBE-21 thermosalinograph aboard the N. B. Palmer and a SIO/ODF thermosalinograph unit based on Neil Brown sensors aboard the R. Revelle. The precision of the report temperature data has been estimated to be about 0.005 °C.

## **2-c) Infra-red CO<sub>2</sub> Gas Analyzer:**

The equilibrated gas was passed through a water trap (to collect aerosols and condensates), mass flow controller and a reverse flow naphion dryer (PermaPure flushed with pure nitrogen gas) to remove water vapor (to a level of -20°C), and was introduced into the IR sample cell at a rate of about 50 ml/min for CO<sub>2</sub> determinations. A LI-COR infra-red gas analyzer (Model 6251, Lincoln, NB) was used. After about 3 minutes of

purging period, the gas flow was stopped and readings were recorded on the computer. Although an electronic circuit was provided by the manufacturer in order to linearize the CO<sub>2</sub> response, it exhibited a few inflexions that deviated from linearity by a few ppm. Therefore, we chose not to use the outputs from the linearization circuit supplied by the manufacturer. Instead, we used five standard gas mixtures (one pure nitrogen and four CO<sub>2</sub>-air mixtures) during the expeditions, and established response curves using the raw output from the analyzer. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the gas mixtures were calibrated using the SIO standards determined by C. D. Keeling's group using the manometric method. The concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> in the standard gas mixtures were summarized above.

During normal operations, each of the standard gas mixtures was passed through the analyzer for 70 to 90 seconds at a rate of about 60 ml/min. This replaced the IR analyzer cell completely with the new gas. The flow was stopped for 5 seconds and then a millivolt reading from the analyzer was taken and recorded. Samples of equilibrated air and marine air were pumped through the analyzer for 180 seconds (3 minutes) at a rate of about 50 ml/min to purge the previous sample in the IR cell. The flow was stopped for 5 seconds and a reading for the analyzer output was recorded. This procedure was intended to eliminate errors due to fluctuations of the dynamic pressure within the IR cell by irregular gas flow rates. The slow flow rates used for samples were required for the removal of water vapor using the PermaPure membrane dryer. We analyze 32 seawater and one air sample between two sets of calibration runs using the five standard gases.

#### **2-d) Data Logging System:**

The following values were recorded on a laptop computer. The sample locations were derived from a GPS positioning unit that is a part of our surface water pCO<sub>2</sub> system. The CO<sub>2</sub> readings for samples were recorded once every 3 minutes (180 seconds), and those for the standard gas mixtures once every 1.5 minutes.

Date,

Time (GMT),

Sample ID (standard gas cylinder numbers, seawater CO<sub>2</sub>, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>)

Barometric pressure in the laboratory (to 0.1 mb)

IR cell temperature,

Gas flow rate in the IR cell (to 0.1 ml/min),

Temperature of equilibration (to 0.01 °C),

Analyzer output (millivolts to 0.1 mv)

CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in dry gas sample (preliminary based on the last response curve), and  
pCO<sub>2</sub> (preliminary value based on the last response curve).

The ships RVDAS system adds several other data elements from various sensors and equipment. The list is:

Latitude, Longitude, Thermosalinograph temperature, thermosalinograph salinity, fluorometer voltage, wind speed, wind direction, barometric pressure, water flow in the uncontaminated seawater line.

## **2-e) Data Reduction Procedures:**

The concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the sample was computed by the following way based on the millivolt reading and time of the reading. The millivolt reading taken for each of the five standard gases at the time of sample measurement was computed by linearly interpolating as a function of time using the readings taken before and after the respective standard gases were analyzed. This yields millivolt reading for each of the five standard gases at the time when the sample was analyzed. These five values were fit to a fourth-order polynomial equation (with five constants to be determined). This serves as the response curve. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the sample was computed using the response curve that was established at the time of each sample analysis. This method has been demonstrated to yield more reliable CO<sub>2</sub> values compared with those computed, for example, using a least-squares fit of a quadratic or cubic functions to the five calibration points. The method described above yields atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> values that are consistent with those reported for the South Pole and the Cape Grim by the Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory/NOAA in Boulder, CO.

The partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in seawater, (pCO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>sw</sub>, at the temperature of equilibration, T<sub>eq</sub>, in the unit of microatmospheres (μatm) was computed using the expression:

$$(pCO_2)_{sw} @ T_{eq} = (V_{CO_2})_{eq} \times (P_b - P_w), \dots \dots \dots [1]$$

- (V<sub>CO<sub>2</sub></sub>)<sub>eq</sub> = the mole fraction concentration (ppm) of CO<sub>2</sub> in the dried equilibrated carrier gas;
- P<sub>b</sub> = the barometric pressure (that is equal to the total pressure of equilibration) in atmospheres; and
- P<sub>w</sub> = the equilibrium water vapor pressure at T<sub>eq</sub> (°C) and salinity.

The water vapor pressure was computed using the following formulation;

$$P_w \text{ (atm)} = (1/760) \times (1 - 5.368 \times 10^{-4} \times \text{Sal}) \times \text{EXP} \{ [0.0039476 - (1/TK)] / 1.8752 \times 10^{-4} \}, \dots \dots [2]$$

where Sal is salinity in PSU measured using the ship's thermosalinograph, and TK is the temperature of equilibration in °K.

The (pCO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>sw</sub> at the in situ temperature, T in situ, was computed using a constant value of 0.0423 % per



The value for  $T_{in\ situ}$  is taken to be the seawater temperature measured by the ship's thermosalinograph at the time of  $pCO_2$  measurements.  $T_{eq}$  is generally warmer than  $T_{in\ situ}$  by  $0.5 \sim 0.8\ ^\circ C$ . Hence the temperature correction is normally less than 3% of  $pCO_2$  values.

The over all precision of the reported  $pCO_2$ <sub>sw</sub> values has been estimated to be about  $\pm 1.5\ \mu atm$ .

### 3. MEASUREMENTS OF $pCO_2$ IN THE ATMOSPHERE

#### 3-a) Measurements:

The air measurement system is shown schematically in Fig. 1. Uncontaminated marine air samples were collected about 10 m above the sea surface using a DEKORON tubing (1/4" i.d., Calco Inc., PA), a thin-wall aluminum tubing protected by plastic casing. The intake was located at the middle of the foremast about 10 m above the sea surface. A KNF Neuberger air pump that was located near the IR analyzer was used to pump air through the tubing and into the IR analyzer. Even when air samples were not analyzed, the pump was on all the time to keep the air flowing through the sampling line. For the analysis, the air sample was passed through a water trap and a drying column to remove water vapor (the same PermaPure column as used for the equilibrated gas) and introduced into the IR cell for  $CO_2$  analysis at a rate of about 50 ml/min. After 3 minutes of purging the cell, the flow was stopped for 5 seconds and the IR millivolt output reading was recorded.

#### 3-b) Data Processing:

The partial pressure of  $CO_2$  in the air,  $(pCO_2)_{air}$ , was computed in the unit of microatmospheres ( $\mu atm$ ) in the same way as that for seawater using Eq. [3] below:

$$(pCO_2)_{air} = (V_{CO_2})_{air} \times (P_b - P_w), \dots \dots \dots [3]$$

$(V_{CO_2})_{air}$  = the mole fraction concentration (ppm) of  $CO_2$  in the dried air sample;  
 $P_b$  = the barometric pressure at sea surface in atmospheres; and  
 $P_w$  = the equilibrium water vapor pressure at  $T_{in\ situ}$  ( $^\circ C$ ) and salinity given by Eq. [2].

The precision of the atmospheric  $pCO_2$  values have been estimated to be about  $\pm 1\ \mu atm$ .

#### **4. REFERENCES CITED**

Bates, N. R., Takahashi, T., Chipman, D. W. and Knapp, A. H. (1998). Variability of  $p\text{CO}_2$  on diel to seasonal time scales in the Sargasso Sea. *Jour. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 15567-15585.

$\text{CO}_2$  Group, Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory. (1999) “ $p\text{CO}_2$  Equilibrator Users Manual”, LDEO of Columbia University, Palisade, NY, pp.10.

Takahashi, T., Olafsson, J., Goddard, J., Chipman, D. W. and Sutherland, S. C., (1993). Seasonal variation of  $\text{CO}_2$  and nutrients in the high-latitude surface oceans: A comparative study. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 7, 843-878.