

**2012**

# Coral Reef Fishery Assessment in American Samoa



**Domingo Ochavillo**

Department of Marine and Wildlife

Resources

3/31/2012

**[PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK]**

# Coral Reef Fishery Ecosystem Assessment in American Samoa

---

## Introduction

Factors that affect coral reef fishery ecosystems can be grouped into two categories: man-made and natural disturbances. Presumably these factors interact as well and their interactions probably compound their impacts. Jackson (1997) has made to date the most compelling historical analyses of various factors affecting a coral reef fishery ecosystem with the Caribbean as a case study. He pointed out that overfishing especially of mega-vertebrates and coral reef degradation through sedimentation had completely altered the processes on Caribbean coral reefs long before scientific research started. The rapid growth of Jamaica when it became an English colony in the 17<sup>th</sup> century led to the overharvesting of marine turtles that used to number in hundreds in millions. Mega-vertebrates (turtles, manatees, monk seal) were keystone species and their decimation dramatically reduced and qualitatively changed grazing and predation on seagrasses and sponges. These significant changes led to the loss of production in adjacent ecosystems and changed the structure of food chains. Large herbivores and carnivores are now ecologically extinct on Caribbean coral reefs and seagrasses, where food chains are presently dominated by small fishes and invertebrates that specialize on chemically-defended prey.

Sedimentation due to deforestation and poor agricultural practices also contributed to the massive decline of Caribbean coral reefs (Cortes and Risk 1985, Rogers 1985, 1990, Tomascik and Sander 1985, 1987, Bak 1987, Jackson et al. 1989, Guzman et al. 1991). Jackson (1997) pointed out that extensive deforestation in Barbados already started in the 17<sup>th</sup> century to pave for sugar plantations. The vegetation of the island was also completely destroyed thrice by hurricanes (references in Lewis 1984) which perhaps led to significant runoff of sediments degrading coral reefs and seagrass beds and probably led to the decline of *Acropora palmata*. Recent historical events such as the loss and/or decline in the abundance of herbivores have also contributed to the reef decline. Corals had catastrophic mortality due to overgrowth by macroalgae. Macroalgae overgrew corals after the heavy mortality of the algal grazer *Diadema* sea urchin and this was exacerbated by the large decline of large herbivorous fishes by the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

There is a large literature on the negative impacts of storms and hurricanes on coral reefs (references in Rogers et al. 1991). The damage on coral reefs due to the physical force of strong waves (also by tsunami) includes sand-blasting and abrasion of coral tissues, dislodging of fragments and/or whole colonies and smothering and/or burial with sand. Tsunami waves together with earthquakes can crack large massive coral colonies and coral limestone (pers.obs. in Tutuila Is.). The physical impact of strong waves can alter benthos characteristics and sometimes lead to abundance of macroalgae (Rogers et al. 1991). The impacts of cyclones have been similarly speculated to cause decline of coral reef fisheries catch in American Samoa (Zeller et al. 2000). The decline in fish catch can be attributed to the loss of habitat (e.g. live branching coral). However, reef fish species vary in their habitat preference so the possibility of increase in abundance of some other species cannot be ruled out.

The main objectives of this report were: (1) to establish historical trends in catch-per-unit effort and fish catch composition in American Samoa, and; (2) to correlate these trends with known events as a very general way of inferring their impacts of these historical events on the coral reef fisheries. Previously,

Sabater and Carroll (2009) analyzed the catch-per-unit effort data for all gears combined and family-level fish catch analyses in American Samoa. They showed no apparent general trend in the catch-per-unit effort data across the years. They also showed significant variability in the fish catch composition in the combined catch analyses for all the gears. The fish families that contributed to the catch composition differences among the years were the surgeonfishes, groupers, parrotfishes, soldierfishes and rudderfishes. In related studies, Birkeland et al. (1997, 2004) and Green (2002) correlated trends in hard coral cover in the Fagatele Bay National Marine Sanctuary and Tutuila Island with the occurrence of crown-of-thorns infestation, cyclones and bleaching events. There were some indications that cyclone and bleaching events potentially decreased hard coral cover in Tutuila Island. However, the separate impacts of these natural disturbances could not be discriminated. In Fagatele Bay, the decline in hard coral cover after bleaching was evident for those colonies situated on the reef flat presumably due to more intense sunlight and increased warming. Fenner (2004) reported 50% mortality of staghorn corals in a lagoon in Tutuila Island during the bleaching events in 2001 and 2002. It could not be ascertained how the loss of these habitats after cyclone and bleaching events impacted coral reef fishes and fisheries in American Samoa.

In this study, we analyzed the catch-per-unit effort data for various fish families for each fishing gear (shore-based and boat-based). In addition, we analyzed species group catch composition among the fishing years. We correlated the historical patterns in catch-per-unit effort and catch composition with known severe natural disturbances and the timing of changes in the fishing technology in American Samoa. Specifically, we were especially interested on the impacts of the ban of the SCUBA spearfishing and the cyclones that hit American Samoa during the last 30 years: 1981 (Esau), 1987 (Tusi), 1990 (Ofa), 1991 (Val), 2004 (Heta) and 2005 (Olaf) (Craig 2009). Tusi and Olaf caused heavy damage in Manua while Ofa and Val were hard on Tutuila. The tsunami that hit American Samoa on June 2009 was highly devastating although the damage on the reef was minimal and mostly on the reef flat (Fenner, personal communication). There were no significant fish kills reported. (One fish was photographed floating and dead after the tsunami presumably due to waves' physical impact.). There was a crown-of-thorns starfish infestation in 1978. In addition, there were coral bleaching events in 2001, 2002 and 2004. We hypothesize that there would be changes in CPUE after banning SCUBA spearfishing and decline in CPUE after the cyclone and tsunami occurrence. In addition, we also hypothesize that there will be significant changes in fish group catch composition around the occurrence of these severe natural disturbances.

## **Materials and Methods**

Annual catch-per-unit effort data per fishing gear expressed as weight-per-unit-hour were calculated. The data analyzed and the trends generated were based from the creel survey program data under the Western Pacific Fishery Information Network (WestPacFin). The methods conducted by this fisheries-dependent program are described in Sabater and Carroll (2009) and briefly summarized here.

The creel survey program that monitors fisheries-dependent data in two categories (shore-based or shoreline and boat-based or offshore) has been conducted by the American Samoa Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources. In general, the fisheries can be grouped into subsistence (non-commercial) where a significant portion of the catch is kept for personal or family consumption and the commercial where most if not all of the catch is sold. Subsistence fishing does not involve use of boats (usually fishing from

the shore or shore-based fishery) while commercial fishing might involve a boat (boat-based fishery) or also fishing from the shore.

The shore-based fishery monitoring started in 1977 (Wass 1980, 1984), was intermittent in the 1990's (Ponwith 1991, McConnaughey 1993, Saucerman 1995a, 1995b) and became more regular since 2000 (Coutures 2003). The fishing methods under shore-based fishery include rod and reel, throw net, gleaning, bamboo pole, gill net and spear. At a given two-hour sampling period, the shore-based creel survey involves a roadside survey for fishing effort data and later a fishermen participation interview survey during the reverse direction for fish catch data. During the participation survey, a fisherman is interviewed using a standard set of questions and length and weight of fish are recorded. Fish is identified by using Samoan names. The shore-based survey has only focused on the south side of Tutuila island and in the Manua.

The boat-based fishery monitoring started in 1982 and is more regular than the shore-based survey. The fishing methods under the boat-based fishery include trolling, longlining, bottomfishing, spearfishing (snorkel and SCUBA, SCUBA has since been outlawed since 1992), and mix bottomfishing and trolling. Creel survey data collectors intercept the boat at known docks. Fishermen are interviewed with a standard set of questions and a sub-sample of catch is taken for fish length and weight measurements. Like the shore-based survey, fish is identified by their Samoan names.

Three levels of analyses were conducted to infer the impact of severe natural disturbances on the coral reef fisheries in American Samoa: (1) trends in CPUE were roughly correlated with the occurrence of known disturbances in specific years; (2) analysis of variance of CPUE between years of SCUBA spearfishing and banned SCUBA spearfishing; and (3) multivariate analysis of species composition in relation to disturbances and changes in fishing technology.

Analysis of variance using general linear model was conducted on the annual catch-per-unit effort between years with no scuba spearfishing ban and when the ban took effect in the highly fished groups (surgeonfish, parrotfish, miscellaneous reef fish, crustaceans and groupers). Species groupings used were the CREMUS categories. Homogeneity of variances was analyzed using Levene's test. In cases of heterogeneity, a non-parametric analysis of variance was conducted. All analyses were conducted using Statistica 6.1.

Fish catch compositions across the years were analyzed for spearfishing, rod and reef and shore-based spearfishing using multivariate data analyses. Species groupings used were the CREMUS categories. Data was transformed to  $\log(x + 1)$  and used in cluster analyses (group linkage), multi-dimensional scaling and analysis of similarities (ANOSIM). Factors used as categories in analysis of similarities were disturbance (presence or absence in each year) and scuba spearfishing ban (yes or no in each year). The significance of groupings in the analysis of similarity was tested using randomization procedures (999 permutations). All analyses were conducted using Primer 5.1.

## **Results and Discussion**

Determining the impact of severe natural disturbances such as cyclone, hurricanes and tsunamis on fish stocks is difficult given the background noise in the variability of processes affecting natural populations.

The timing of these events also confound the impacts. In addition, these natural disturbances are also not amenable to experiments which make the analyses of their impacts more complicated. Natural populations fluctuate in number through time due to variabilities in the processes operating in the pre-recruitment, recruitment and post-recruitment stages of marine organisms. Therefore, inferring impacts entails looking at pattern congruence among fish stocks and/or significant changes relative to the whole temporal trends in catch-per-unit effort and changes in fish composition.

The low fish biomass and low abundance of large fish in American Samoa have been mainly attributed to the impact of fishing. Williams et al. (2010) showed a trend of low fish biomass with high population density among U.S. territories and remote island reefs in the Pacific. This decline in fish biomass has been correlated with increase in population in American Samoa since 1990's (Waddell et al. 2005). However, it has also been pointed out there has been a general trend of decrease in fishing effort (Sabater and Carroll 2009) and economics data from WestPacFin indicated declining price for fish which suggests a decreasing demand (Figures 1 and 2). These declining trends in fish prices for the last 19 years were statistically significant for the top fished groups based on regression analyses (miscellaneous bottomfish,  $p < 0.001$ ; surgeonfish,  $p < 0.0001$ ; miscellaneous reef fish,  $p < 0.05$ ); parrotfish,  $p < 0.01$ ; snappers,  $p < 0.01$ ; crustaceans,  $p < 0.05$ ).

There were highly similar temporal trends in the catch-per-unit effort of various coral reef management units (surgeonfish, parrotfish, miscellaneous reef fish, crustaceans, groupers and miscellaneous bottomfish) from 1986 to 2011 (Figures 3 and 4). Four of the six CREMUS groups had low CPUEs in 1987-1988 when the cyclone Tusi hit American Samoa. Tusi was supposed to be particularly damaging to Manua (Craig 2009). All four CREMUS groups showed a decline in CPUE when the cyclone Ofa hit Tutuila hard in 1990. On the other hand, all of these groups showed an increase in CPUE in 1991 when cyclone Val hit Tutuila Island very hard. There were no declines in CPUE in 2009 during the tsunami that wiped out one village in Tutuila. However, there were declines in CPUE in 3 of the 4 CREMUS groups after 2009 (in 2010 and 2011).

The patterns in CPUE differed for CREMUS collected through gleaning from 1990 to 2010 (Figure 5). There were low CPUEs in 1990 and 1991 but this seems to reflect more of a temporal variability especially when viewing the whole time series. However, there was a large drop in CPUE for mollusks in 2009 when a tsunami hit the island. Gleaning collects invertebrates and other CREMUS in the reef flat which had more damage during the 2009 tsunami.

There were no apparent trends in the catch-per-unit effort of groupers, jacks, atulai, mullet and other finfish based on data from bamboo pole fishing relative to known severe natural disturbances that occurred in American Samoa (Figure 6). On the other hand, jacks, atulai, mullet and other finfish CPUE declined in 2009 (Figure 7). Like gleaning, bamboo pole operates in the shallow reefs. Only the CPUE of the groupers and the other finfish in the rod-and-reel have discernible patterns from 1990 to 2009. Both showed low CPUEs in 1990 when the cyclone Ofa hit the territory (Figure 8). Groupers caught by rod-and-reel declined in catch in 2009. On the other hand, other CREMUS finfish increased in CPUE on the same year.

There were similar patterns in the CPUE trends for mollusks, surgeonfish, groupers, parrotfish, and squirrelfish caught through shore-based spear snorkeling (Figures 9 and 10). All of these groups had low CPUEs as well in 1990 during cyclone Ofa and in 2009 during the tsunami. In the shore-based throw net

fishing (Figures 11 and 12), there were similar trends of low CPUE in 1991 for mullet, surgeonfish, atulai, goatfish, jacks and other finfish. However, for the latter CREMUS groups only the mullets declined in CPUE in 2009.

There were 6 years (cyclones: 1987, 1990, 1991, 2004, 2005; tsunami: 2009) with disturbance and 16 years with no disturbance in the data analyses for spearfishing. The analysis of similarity for catch in spearfishing between years with and without disturbance indicated significant differences in catch composition (global  $R = 0.38$ ,  $p = 0.006$ ). There was a 'weak' tendency for years with disturbance to group together based on cluster analysis and multidimensional scaling (Figures 13 and 14). However, year 1993 also grouped with these 'cyclone' years although no cyclone was reported that year. The ban of scuba spearfishing has not altered the species composition in the catch of spearfishing (global  $R = 0.022$ ,  $p = 0.34$ ). This suggests that SCUBA spearfishing was not selective for some fish groups.

There were 4 years (cyclones: 1990, 1991, 2005; tsunami: 2009) with disturbance and 8 years with no disturbance in the data analyses for shore-based spearfishing. The analysis of similarity for catch composition indicated no significant differences (global  $R = 0.04$ ,  $p = 0.33$ ). There was also no pattern of grouping based on disturbance from the cluster and multidimensional scaling analyses (Figures 15 and 16). However, the analysis of similarity indicated significant differences in catch composition between years with and without scuba spearfishing (global  $R = 0.51$ ,  $p = 0.05$ ).

There were 4 years (cyclones: 1990, 1991, 2005; tsunami: 2009) with disturbance and 8 years with no disturbance in the data analyses for rod-and-reel. The analysis of similarity for catch in the rod-and-reel with disturbance as factor indicated no significant difference in composition (global  $R = 0.04$ ,  $p = 0.35$ ). The absence of grouping for disturbance and non-disturbance years was also reflected in the cluster and multidimensional scaling analyses (Figures 17 and 18).

There were 14 years and 11 years, with and without scuba spearfishing, respectively on the analysis of the impact of scuba spearfishing. The general linear model analyses of variance indicated significant differences in the catch-per-unit-effort for surgeonfish, parrotfish, miscellaneous reef fish and crustaceans between scuba spearfishing and no scuba spearfishing years (Table 1). There were significantly higher catch-per-unit effort using during scuba spearfishing years for surgeonfish, parrotfish and miscellaneous reef fish. On the other hand, there was higher catch-per-unit effort for crustaceans (mainly lobsters) after the ban on scuba spearfishing. The non-parametric analysis for catch-per-unit effort in similar analysis for groupers indicated that catch-per-unit effort was higher during the scuba spearfishing years.

In summary, the analysis of catch-per-unit effort in spearfishing indicated higher catch-per-unit effort using scuba for most fish groups except the crustaceans. This was expected since fishermen can stay in water longer and deeper, fishing is more efficient. However, there are some 'tenuous' indications that catch-per-unit effort declined in some cyclone years and during the year that a tsunami hit American Samoa (1990-1991 cyclones and tsunami in 2009). There was an exception of higher catch during the tsunami year. This concurrence suggests that these fish groups experienced a similar phenomenon. This concurrence could not be explained by natural processes such as recruitment variability nor similar changes in fish abundance since such processes most probably operate independently especially among disparate species groups. Massive fish kills were also not observed during such events. We hypothesize that these disturbances mostly impacted fishing behavior (less fishing activity and/or inclement weather adds for more effort for the same amount of fish or lesser) more than a decline in fish stocks. However,

we cannot also rule out changes in fish behavior such as movement to deeper waters or other habitats due to these disturbances. There were also evidence that catch composition varied between disturbance and non-disturbance years. This also suggests disturbances affect some species groups differently. Decline in fish stocks can be ruled out as an alternative explanation since there were no massive fish kills recorded in these years. However, the other explanation is reduced catch due to fish movement. Although the latter cannot be ruled out, fish movement probably would be momentary and would not affect the CPUE in an annual basis.

The decline in catch-per-unit effort has always been associated with decline in fish stocks. In fact, decline in CPUE has always been an 'overfishing' indicator. In reality, CPUE reflects a more complicated picture. It reflects the variability of fishing skills among fishermen and most probably changes in fish behavior. In this report, we have pointed to some 'tenuous' evidence of negative impact of natural disturbances on coral reef fishery. On the other hand, there are some indications that the same disturbances have impacted the bottomfishing fishery so there is some inverse relationship. The impact of severe natural disturbances on coral reef fisheries has always not been clearly shown and will be difficult to show due to their timing and the background variability of processes affecting natural populations. However, this does not mean that they are not important factors. In contrast, the impact of these disturbances should be studied as they have implications on what can be said of the status of exploited stocks.

## REFERENCES

Bak RPM. 1987. Effects of chronic oil pollution on a Caribbean coral reef. *Mar. Poll. Bull.* 18:534-539

Birkeland CE, Green A, Mundy C and Miller K. 2004. Long term monitoring of Fagatele Bay National Marine Sanctuary and Tutuila Island (American Samoa) 1985 to 2001: summary of surveys conducted in 1998 and 2001. Report to US DOC and American Samoa Government.

Birkeland CE, Randall RH, Wass RC, Smith B and Wilkins S. 1997. Biological resource assessment of the Fagatele Bay National Marine Sanctuary. NOAA Technical Memorandum #3.

Cortes J and Risk MJ. 1985. A reef under siltation stress: Cahuita, Costa Rica. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 36:339-356

Coutures, E. 2003. The Shoreline Fishery of American Samoa: Analysis of 1-Year Data and Implementation of a New Sampling Protocol. Biological Report Series No. 102. Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources, American Samoa, 22 pp.

Fenner D. 2004. Summer coral bleaching event, 2004, on Tutuila, American Samoa. Report to Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources, American Samoa. 4 pp.

Green A. 2002. Status of coral reefs on the main volcanic islands of American Samoa: a resurvey of long-term monitoring sites. No. 96799. Report to Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources, Pago Pago, American Samoa. 135 pp.

Guzman HM, Weil E, Jackson JBC. 1991. Short-term ecological consequences of a major oil spill on Panamanian subtidal reef corals. *Coral Reefs* 10 : 1-12



Jackson JBC, Cubit JD, Keller BD, Batista V, Burns K, Caffey HM, Caldwell RL, Garrity SD, Getter CD, Gonzalez C, Guzman HM, Kaufmann KW, Knap AH, Levings SC, Marshall MJ, Steger R, Thompson RC, Weil E. 1989. Ecological effects of a major oil spill on Panamanian coastal marine communities. *Science* 243 : 37-44

Jackson JBC. 1997. Reefs since Columbus. *Coral Reefs* 16, Suppl.:S23-S32

Lewis JB. 1984. The *Acropora* inheritance: a reinterpretation of the development of fringing reefs in Barbados, West Indies. *Coral Reefs* 3 : 117-122

McConnaughey, J. 1993. The Shoreline Fishery of American Samoa in FY 1992. Biological Report Series No. 41. Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources, Pago-Pago, American Samoa (1993). Paris: United Nations Education, Scientific, and Cultural Organization.

Ponwith, B. J. 1991. The Inshore Fishery of American Samoa: A 12-year Comparison. Biological Report Series No 22. Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources, American Samoa, 51 pp.

PRIMER-E Ltd. 2002. Primer 5 for Windows. Version 5.2.9. [www.primer-e.com](http://www.primer-e.com)

Rogers CS, McLain LN and Tobias CR. 1991. Effects of Hurricane Hugo (1989) on a coral reef in St. John, USVI. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 78:189-199

Rogers CS. 1985. Degradation of Caribbean and western Atlantic coral reefs and decline of associated fisheries. *Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> Int. Coral Reef Congr.* 6:491-496

Rogers CS. 1990. Responses of coral reefs and reef organisms to sedimentation. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 62:185-202

Sabater MG and Carroll BP. 2009. Trends in Reef Fish Population and Associated Fishery after Three Millennia of Resource Utilization and a Century of Socio-Economic Changes in American Samoa. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 17(3):318–335

Saucerman, S. 1995a. The Inshore Fishery of American Samoa, 1991 to 1994. Biological Report No. 77. Department of Marine and Wildlife Resources, Pago Pago, American Samoa, 26 pp.

Saucerman, S. 1995b. Assessing the Management Need of a Coral Reef Fishery in Decline. Joint FFA/SPC Workshop on the Management of South Pacific Inshore Fisheries. Noumea, New Caledonia, June 26–July 7, 1995.

[StatSoft and Inc., 2007. STATISTICA \(Data Analysis Software System\), Version 8.0, www.statsoft.com.](http://www.statsoft.com)

Tomascik T and Sander F. 1985. Effects of eutrophication on reef-building corals. I. Growth rate of the reef building coral *Montastrea annularis*. *Mar. Biol.* 87:143-155

Tomascik T and Sander F. 1987. Effects of eutrophication on reef-building corals. Effects of eutrophication on reef-building corals. II. Structure of scleractinian coral communities on fringing reefs, Barbados, West Indies. *Mar. Biol.* 94:3-75

Vroom PS, Musburger CA, Cooper SW, Maragos JE, Page-Albins KN, Timmers MAV. 2010. Marine

biological community baselines in unimpacted tropical ecosystems: spatial and temporal analyses of reefs at Howland and Baker Islands. *Biodiv. Conserv.* 19:797-812

Waddell JE. (ed.) 2005. The State of Coral Reef Ecosystems of the United States and Pacific Freely-Associated States: 2005. NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NCCOS 11. NOAA/NCCOS Center for Coastal Monitoring and Assessment's Biogeography Team. Silver Spring, MD. 522 pp.


Wass, R. C. 1980. The shoreline fishery of American Samoa, past and present. **In:** Marine and Coastal Processes in the Pacific: Ecological Aspects of Coastal Zone Management (J. Munro, Ed., pp. 51–83).

Wass, R. C. 1984. An Annotated Checklist of the Fishes of Samoa. NOAA Technical Report SSRF-781, U.S. Department of Commerce, 43 pp.

Williams ID, Richards BJ, Sandin SA, Baum JK, Schroeder RE, Nadon MO, Zgliczynski B, Craig P, McIlwain JL, and Brainard RE. 2011. Differences in reef fish assemblages between populated and remote reefs spanning multiple archipelagos across the central and western Pacific. *J. Mar. Biol.* 2011:826234


Zeller D, Booth S, Craig P and Pauly D. 2006. Reconstruction of coral reef fisheries catches in American Samoa, 1950-2002. *Coral Reefs* 25:144-152

**American Samoa Commercial Landings Average Inflation Adjusted Price (\$/Lbs) (Top 1 - 3 Species/Group)**




**Figure 1. Inflation-adjusted fish prices for miscellaneous bottomfish, surgeonfish and miscellaneous reef fish in American Samoa.**

**American Samoa Commercial Landings Average Inflation Adjusted Price (\$/Lbs) (Top 4 - 6 Species/Group)**




**Figure 1. Inflation-adjusted fish prices for parrotfish, snappers and crustaceans in American Samoa.**

**Boat-based CPUE (Lbs/Hr) -- Fishing Method: Spear (boat-w\_wo Tanks)(Top 1 - 3 Species/Group)**



**Figure 3. Trends in catch-per-unit effort (lbs/hr) for surgeonfish, parrotfish and miscellaneous reef fish for commercial reef spear fishery (boat-based).**

**Boat-based CPUE (Lbs/Hr) – Fishing Method: Spear (boat-w\_wo Tanks) (Top 4 - 6 Species/Group)**



**Figure 4. Trends in catch-per-unit (lbs/hr) for groupers, crustaceans and miscellaneous bottomfish from the commercial coral reef spear fishery.**

Shore-based CPUE (Lbs/Gr\_Hr) – Fishing Method: Gleaning (Top 1 - 3 Species/Group)




Figure 5. Trends in catch-per-unit effort (lbs/hr) for mollusks and other invertebrates in gleaning. (The gap from 1997 to 2005 is due to non-collection of data).

Shore-based CPUE (Lbs/Gr\_Hr) -- Fishing Method: Bamboo Pole (Top 1 - 3 Species/Group)




Figure 6. Trends in catch-per-unit effort (lbs/hr) for groupers, jacks and atulai (big eye scad) from bamboo pole. (The gap from 1997 to 2004 is due to non-collection of data).



Shore-based CPUE (Lbs/Gr\_Hr) -- Fishing Method: Bamboo Pole (Top 4 - 6 Species/Group)




Figure 7. Trends in catch-per-unit effort (lbs/hr) for mullet and other fin fish from bamboo pole. (The gap from 1997 to 2004 is due to non-collection of data).

Shore-based CPUE (Lbs/Gr\_Hr) – Fishing Method: Rod And Reel (Top 4 - 6 Species/Group)





Figure 8. Trends in catch-per-unit effort (lbs/hr) for groupers, surgeonfish and other fin fish from rod and reel. (The gap from 1997 to 2004 is due to non-collection of data).

Shore-based CPUE (Lbs/Gr\_Hr) – Fishing Method: Spear - Snorkel (Top 1 - 3 Species/Group)



**Figure 9. Trends in catch-per-unit effort (lbs/hr) for mollusks, surgeonfish and groupers from shore-based spear-snorkeling. (The gap from 1997 to 2004 is due to non-collection of data).**

Shore-based CPUE (Lbs/Gr\_Hr) – Fishing Method: Spear - Snorkel (Top 4 - 6 Species/Group)




Figure 10. Trends in catch-per-unit effort (lbs/hr) for parrotfish and squirrelfish from shore-based spear-snorkeling. (The gap from 1997 to 2004 is due to non-collection of data).

Shore-based CPUE (Lbs/Gr\_Hr) -- Fishing Method: Throw Net (Top 1 - 3 Species/Group)




Figure 11. Trends in catch-per-unit effort (lbs/hr) for mullet, surgeonfish and atulai from shore-based throw net. (The gap from 1997 to 2004 is due to non-collection of data).

Shore-based CPUE (Lbs/Gr\_Hr) -- Fishing Method: Throw Net (Top 4 - 6 Species/Group)






Figure 12. Trends in catch-per-unit effort (lbs/hr) for various finfish, jacks and goatfish from shore-based throw net. (The gap from 1997 to 2004 is due to non-collection of data).

*spearfishing primer*




**Figure 13. Cluster analyses of the catch composition in spearfishing (cyclone years: 1987, 1990, 1991, 2004 and 2005; tsunami: 2009).**

*spearfishing primer*




**Figure 14. Multi-dimensional scaling analyses of the catch composition in spearfishing (cyclone years: 1987, 1990, 1991, 2004 and 2005; tsunami: 2009).**






**Figure 15. Cluster analyses of the catch composition in shore-based spearfishing (cyclone years: 1990, 1991 and 2005; tsunami: 2009).**

*shore based spear*




**Figure 16. Multi-dimensional scaling analyses of the catch composition in shore-based spearfishing (cyclone years: 1990, 1991 and 2005; tsunami: 2009).**



**Figure 17. Cluster analyses of the catch composition in rod-and-reel (cyclone years: 1990, 1991 and 2005; tsunami: 2009).**

*rod-and-reel primer*



**Figure 18. Multi-dimensional scaling analyses of the catch composition in rod-and-reel (cyclone years: 1990, 1991 and 2005; tsunami: 2009).**

	Df	SS	MS	F	p
surgeonfish	1	1128.44	1128.44	22.13	0.00
parrotfish	1	342.02	342.02	38.15	0.00
misc. reef fish	1	105.28	105.28	4.69	0.05
crustaceans	1	51.50	51.50	37.43	0.00

**Table 1. One-way analyses of variance for the annual catch-per-unit effort of various fishing target groups with scuba spearfishing as factor.**

	Rank Sum scubaspearfishing	Rank Sum no-scubaspearfishing	U	Z	p-level
groupers	178.00	98.00	32.00	2.09	0.04

**Table 2. Mann-Whitney U test for the impact of scuba spearfishing on the catch per unit effort in groupers.**

