# SAND BYPASSING at STABILIZED INLETS:

# Sustaining Restored Beaches in Broward County



# Sand Bypassing

- Used when inlet navigation features block the alongshore drift of sand
- 85% of beach erosion in FL is caused by the interruption of the alongshore drift by stabilized inlets
- Goal of bypassing is to capture sand on updrift side of inlet and move material to downdrift beaches

# Sand Bypassing

- Two main types of bypassing
  - <u>Intercept</u>, whereby a device or series of devices continuously or episodically moves material as it arrives.
  - <u>Storage</u>, whereby a deposition area is constructed to capture arriving sand and is periodically excavated. The sand is then piped or transported to target beaches.
- Hybrid or combination systems also exist

# Examples of Sand Bypassing Types Intercept - Tweed Head, NSW, Aus





- Material piped across inlet
- Four outlets for sand

• Jet pumps fluidize sand and pumps transport the material to target



# Examples of Sand Bypassing Types Weir/Sand Trap (Storage)



#### Hillsboro Inlet, FL

## Examples of Sand Bypassing Types Hybrid Systems – Beach Mining



- Indian River Inlet, DE
- Crane-mounted jet pump
- Sand piped to downdrift beach

DREDGING AREA

- Canaveral Harbor
- Hydraulic cutterhead dredge mines the nearshore beach sand and pumps to downdrift beach

SOUTH JETTY

Canaveral Harbor Sand Bypass Project (II) May, 1998

# Sustainable Beaches



#### Pompano Beach is the Beneficiary of Bypassing at Hillsboro Inlet

## SAND BYPASSING at PORT EVERGLADES Historical Studies



- 1963: Corps Countywide Bch Erosion Study
- 1985: Alternative Sand Source Study
- 1988: Reconnaissance-Level Study
- 1994: State-sponsored Inlet Mgmt Plan
- 1997: Economic Update to Inlet Mgmt Plan
- 1999: State adopts Inlet Management Plan
- 2003: Detailed Feasibility Study
- 2006: Engineering/Design, Permitting
- 2008/9: Construction/Operation

#### CURRENT STUDY ELEMENTS Use of Current Data/Technology

- Detailed Site Investigation
- Review of Historical Data/Constraints
- Quantify Sediment Transport Conditions
  - Historical data
  - Numerical models
- Formulate/Evaluate Alternatives
  - Consider constraints
  - Evaluate
    - Physical performance
    - Effects to adjacent shorelines, inlet
    - Economics

## SHORELINE HISTORY NORTH OF PORT EVERGLADES



## 



Bypassing Configuration Recommended in the Inlet Management Plan

- Significant NET Shoreline
  Recession
- Proximity of Sand Trap To Upland Development

## ALTERNATIVE FORMULATION - CONSTRAINTS

- COLLECT sufficient volume of sand to justify project Costs
- AVOID adverse impacts to shoreline position, beach use, upland development north of the inlet
- LIMIT infrastructure along north jetty and north shoreline
- PROVIDE clean sand to downdrift shoreline
- AVOID impacts to navigation
  - Channel shoaling
  - Impedance to commercial traffic
  - Cross-currents in navigation channel
- AVOID adverse environment impacts
- AVOID adverse impacts to JUL Beach State Park

### SAND BYPASSING MUST INCLUDE

#### Partial Removal of Spoil Shoal



### SAND BYPASSING MUST INCLUDE

- Partial Removal of Spoil Shoal
- North Jetty Extension
- Initial (at least) Rubble Separation
- Activities Only on Public Areas



Concept of Fixed Plant System

- Significant Infrastructure on North Shoreline
- Fixed Pump Location
- Limited Control Over Sand Arriving at Plant
- Rubble/Debris will Limit Productivity
- Frequent Maintenance to Clear Rubble
- Fluctuations in Shoreline Location
- Craters Following Bypassing



SHORE-PARALLEL OFFSHORE SAND TRAP (Alt No. 1)

- **Efficient Sand Collection Rate**
- High Likelihood for Fine-Grained Sands in Sand Trap
- **Persistent Rubble Contamination**
- Shoreline Fluctuations between Dredging
- Steep Beach Slopes Following Dredging



OFFSHORE SAND TRAP at NORTH JETTY (Alt No. 1A)

**Minimal Shore Fluctuations** 

**Lower Initial Cost** 

Potentially Lower Sand Collection Rate

Some Probability for Fine-Grained Sands in Deep Sand Trap

Potential for Rubble Contamination of Sand



WEIR/INTERIOR SAND TRAP (Alt. No. 2)

 Allows Collection of Highest Quality Sediments

Reliable North Shoreline Stability

Least Potential for Long-term
 Rubble Contamination

- Provides Protected Area for Dredging
- Allow Broad Range of Dredge Types and Techniques
- Eliminates Sand Shoaling of Port Channel
- Highest Initial Cost

 Highest Interior Exposure to Wave Action

#### **PUMPOUT and SAND PLACEMENT**



#### SAND BYPASSING ALTERNATIVES COST SUMMARY (2004)

Bypassing Alternative	Initial Construction Cost		Unit Cost of Bypass Sand <i>Including</i> Initial Construction Cost (\$/cy)		Unit Cost of Bypass Sand <i>Excluding</i> Initial Construction Cost (\$/cy)	
1	\$	9,273,000	\$	20.76	\$	16.05
1A	\$	8,904,000	\$	19.06	\$	14.55
2	\$	11,748,000	\$	16.47	\$	10.50

Unit Cost of Sand for current Segment III Project = \$ 24/cy (+/-) (including engineering/environmental monitoring)

## SUMMARY – Sand Bypassing At Port Everglades:

- Will benefit navigation, beach management, environment
- Will reduce the demand for remote sand sources
- Will reduce/eliminate potential for reef impacts from dredging
- Will reduce/eliminate shoaling along north side of Port channel
- Will require shoal modification, jetty extension
- May require rock separation to deliver clean sand
- Is likely most feasible with Alternative 1A or 2

# NEXT STEPS:

- Formalize contract amendment with Consultant
- Perform additional analyses as requested by State DEP
- Select alternative (1A, 2, or other) to implement
- Develop Design and initiate permitting
- Perform environmental & geophysical inventories and studies
- Seek public input, assemble NEPA documentation
- Acquire permits and build plans & specifications
- Bidding and commencement of construction (late 2008?)

# **THANK YOU!**

