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Preface

The oceanographic analyses described by this atlas series expand on earlier works, e.g., the World Ocean Atlas 2005 (WOA05), World Ocean Atlas 2001 (WOA01), World Ocean Atlas 1998 (WOA98), World Ocean Atlas 1994 (WOA94) and Climatological Atlas of the World Ocean (Levitus, 1982). Previously published oceanographic objective analyses have proven to be of great utility to the oceanographic, climate research, and operational environmental forecasting communities. Such analyses are used as boundary and/or initial conditions in numerical ocean circulation models and atmosphere-ocean models, for verification of numerical simulations of the ocean, as a form of “sea truth” for satellite measurements such as altimetric observations of sea surface height, for computation of nutrient fluxes by Ekman transport, and for planning oceanographic expeditions.

We continue preparing climatological analyses on a one-degree grid. This is because higher resolution analyses are not justified for all the variables we are working with and we wish to produce a set of analyses for which all variables have been analyzed in the same manner. High-resolution analyses as typified by the work of Boyer et al. (2004) will be published separately.

In the acknowledgment section of this publication we have expressed our view that creation of global ocean profile and plankton databases and analyses are only possible through the cooperation of scientists, data managers, and scientific administrators throughout the international scientific community. I would also like to thank my colleagues and the staff of the Ocean Climate Laboratory of NODC for their dedication to the project leading to publication of this atlas series. Their integrity and thoroughness have made this database possible. It is my belief that the development and management of national and international oceanographic data archives is best performed by scientists who are actively working with the historical data.

Sydney Levitus
National Oceanographic Data Center
Silver Spring, MD
March 2010
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ABSTRACT

This atlas consists of a description of data analysis procedures and horizontal maps of annual, seasonal, and monthly climatological distribution fields of dissolved inorganic nutrients (phosphate, nitrate, and silicate) at selected standard depth levels of the world ocean on a one-degree latitude-longitude grid. The aim of the maps is to illustrate large-scale characteristics of the distribution of these nutrients as a function of depth. The oceanographic data used to generate these climatological maps were computed by objective analysis of all scientifically quality-controlled historical nutrient data in the World Ocean Database 2009. Maps are presented for climatological composite periods (annual, seasonal, monthly, seasonal and monthly difference fields from the annual mean field, and the number of observations) at selected standard depths.

1. INTRODUCTION

The distribution of dissolved inorganic nutrients (DIN) in the world ocean is affected by biochemical (e.g., marine production, respiration, and oxidation of labile organic matter) and physical processes (e.g., water mass renewal and mixing). This atlas includes objective analyses of all scientifically quality-controlled historical dissolved inorganic nutrients (phosphate, nitrate, and silicate) available in the World Ocean Database 2009 (WOD09; Boyer et al., 2009). By DIN in this atlas, we mean chemically reactive dissolved inorganic nitrate or nitrate and nitrite (N+N), ortho-phosphate, and orthosilicic acid or silicate (μmol l⁻¹). We present data analysis procedures and horizontal maps showing annual, seasonal, and monthly climatologies and related statistical fields at selected standard depth levels between the surface and the ocean bottom to a maximum depth of 5500 m. This atlas includes a subset of all available maps. The complete set of maps, statistical and objectively analyzed data fields, programs, and documentation are available on Digital Video Disk (DVD) by request to NODC.Services@noaa.gov and on-line at www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/indprod.html.

This work is part of the World Ocean Atlas 2009 (WOA09) series. The WOA09 series include analysis for temperature (Locarnini et al., 2010), salinity (Antonov et al., 2010), dissolved oxygen, Apparent Oxygen Utilization, and oxygen saturation (Garcia et al., 2010a), and nutrients (this work). Climatologies are here defined as climatological data mean oceanographic fields at selected standard depth levels based on the objective analysis of historical oceanographic profiles and selected surface-only data. A profile is defined as a set of measurements for a single variable (nitrate+nitrite, phosphate, etc.) at discrete depths taken as an instrument drops or rises vertically in the water column. All climatologies use all available data regardless of year of observation. The annual climatology was calculated using all data regardless of the month in which the observation was made. Seasonal climatologies were calculated using only
data from the defined season (regardless of year). Winter is defined as the months of January, February, and March. Spring is defined as April, May, and June. Summer is defined as July, August, and September. Fall is defined as October, November, and December. Monthly climatologies were calculated using data only from the given month regardless of the day of the month in which the observation was made.

The data used are available from the National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC) and World Data Center (WDC) for Oceanography, Silver Spring, Maryland (Boyer et al., 2009). Large volumes of data have been acquired as a result of the fulfillment of several data management projects including:

a) the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC) Global Oceanographic Data Archaeology and Rescue (GODAR) project (Levitus et al., 2005);

b) the IOC World Ocean Database project (WOD);

c) the IOC Global Temperature Salinity Profile project (GTSPP) (IOC, 1998).

The data used in the WOA09 series have been analyzed in a consistent, objective manner on a one-degree latitude-longitude grid at standard depth levels from the surface to a maximum depth of 5500m. The procedures are identical to those used in the World Ocean Atlas 2005 (WOA05) series (Locarnini et al., 2006; Antonov et al., 2006); Garcia et al., 2006a,b), World Ocean Atlas 2001 (WOA01) series (Stephens et al., 2002; Boyer et al., 2002; Locarnini et al., 2002; Conkright et al., 2002) and World Ocean Atlas 1998 (WOA98) series (Antonov et al., 1998 a, b, c; Boyer et al., 1998 a, b, c; Conkright et al., 1998, a, b, c; O’Brien et al., 1998, a, b, c). Slightly different procedures were followed in earlier analyses (Levitus, 1982; World Ocean Atlas 1994 series [WOA04, Levitus et al., 1994; Levitus and Boyer 1994a, b; Conkright et al., 1994]).

Objective analyses shown in this atlas are limited by the nature of the availability and data quality of the nutrient data base (data are non-uniform in both space and time), characteristics of the objective analysis techniques, and the grid used. These limitations and characteristics are briefly discussed below.

Since the publication of WOA05, substantial amounts of additional historical data have become available. However, even with these additional data, we are still hampered in a number of ways by a lack of DIN data. Because of the lack of data, we are forced to examine the annual cycle by compositing all data regardless of the year of observation. In some areas, quality control is made difficult by the limited number of data collected in these areas. Data may exist in an area for only one season, thus precluding any representative annual analysis. In some areas there may be a reasonable spatial distribution of data points on which to base an analysis, but there may be only a few (perhaps only one) data values in each one-degree latitude-longitude square.

We begin by describing the data sources and data distribution (Section 2). Then we describe the general data processing procedures (Section 3), the results (Section 4), summary (Section 5), and future work (Section 6). The appendices of this atlas include the maps for each nutrient at selected standard depth levels.

2. DATA AND DATA DISTRIBUTION

Data sources and quality control procedures are briefly described below. For further information on the data sources used in WOA09 refer to the World Ocean Database.
2009 (WOD09, Boyer et al., 2009). The quality control procedures used in preparation of these analyses are described by Johnson et al. (2009).

2.1. Data sources

Historical oceanographic nutrient data used in this atlas were obtained from the NODC/WDC archives and include all data gathered as a result of the GODAR and WOD projects (Boyer et al., 2009). The nutrient data used in this atlas were typically obtained by means of analysis of serial (discrete) samples (Garcia et al., 2010b). We refer to the discrete water sample dataset in WOD09 as Ocean Station Data (OSD). Typically, each profile in the OSD dataset consists of 1 to 36 water samples collected at various depths between the surface and the ocean bottom using Nansen or Niskin samplers. Johnson et al. (2009) describes the quality control procedures used in preparation of these analyses.

To understand the procedures for taking individual oceanographic observations and constructing climatological fields, definition of the terms “standard level data” and “observed level data” are necessary. We refer to the actual measured value of an oceanographic variable in situ (Latin for in place) as an “observation”, and to the depth at which such a measurement was made as the “observed level depth”. We refer to such data as “observed level data”. Before the development of oceanographic instrumentation that measure at high frequencies along the vertical profile, oceanographers often attempted to make measurements at selected “standard levels” in the water column. Sverdrup et al. (1942) presented the suggestions of the International Association of Physical Oceanography (IAPSO) as to which depths oceanographic measurements should be made or interpolated to for analysis. Different nations or institutions have a slightly different set of standard depth levels defined. For many purposes, including preparation of the present climatologies, observed level data are interpolated to standard depth levels, if observations did not occur at the desired standard depths. The levels at which the nutrient climatologies were calculated are given in Table 1. Table 2 shows the depths of each standard depth level. Section 3.1 discusses the vertical interpolation procedures used in our work.

2.2. Data quality control

Quality control of the nutrient data is a major task, the difficulty of which is directly related to lack of data and metadata (for some areas) upon which to base statistical checks. Consequently certain empirical criteria were applied (see sections 2.2.1 through 2.2.4), and as part of the last processing step, subjective judgment was used (see sections 2.2.5 and 2.2.6). Individual data, and in some cases entire profiles or all profiles for individual cruises, have been flagged and not used because these data produced features that were subjectively judged to be non-representative or questionable. As part of our work, we have made available WOD09 which contains both observed levels profile data and standard depth level profile data with various quality control flags applied. The flags mark individual nutrient measurements or entire profiles which were not used in the next step of the procedure, either interpolation to standard depth levels for observed level data or calculation of statistical means in the case of standard depth level data.

Our knowledge of the variability of the world ocean based on the instrumental record now includes a greater appreciation and understanding of the ubiquity of eddies, rings, and lenses in some parts of the world ocean as well as inter-annual and inter-decadal variability of water mass properties.
associated with modal variability of the atmosphere such as the North Atlantic Oscillation, Pacific Decadal Oscillation, and El Niño Southern Ocean Oscillation. Therefore, we have simply added quality control flags to the nutrient data, not eliminating them from the WOD09. In addition, some data values include the originator’s quality flags (e.g., World Ocean Circulation Experiment, CLIVAR repeat hydrography). Thus, individual investigators can make their own decision regarding the representativeness of the data. Investigators studying the distribution of features such as eddies will be interested in those data that we may regard as unrepresentative for the preparation of the analyses shown in this atlas.

2.2.1. Duplicate elimination

Because data are received from many sources, sometimes the same data set is received at NODC/WDC more than once but with slightly different time and/or position and/or data values, and hence are not easily identified as duplicate stations. Therefore, to eliminate the repetitive data values our databases were checked for the presence of exact and near exact replicates using eight different criteria. The first checks involve identifying stations with exact position/date/time and data values; the next checks involve offsets in position/date/time. Profiles identified as duplicates in the checks with a large offset were individually verified to ensure they were indeed duplicate profiles. In summary, we eliminated all but one profile from each set of replicate profiles at the first step of our data processing.

2.2.2. Range and gradient checks

Range checking (i.e., checking whether individual nutrient concentration values are within preset minimum and maximum values as a function of depth and ocean region) was performed on all data values as a first quality control check to flag and withhold from further use the relatively few values that were grossly outside expected oceanic concentration ranges. Range checks were prepared for individual oceanic regions. A check as to whether excessive vertical gradients occur in the data has been performed for each nutrient variable in WOD09 both in terms of positive and negative gradients. Johnson et al. (2009) detail the quality control procedures.

2.2.3. Statistical checks

Statistical checks were performed as follows. All data for each nutrient variable (irrespective of year), at each standard depth level, were averaged within five-degree latitude-longitude squares to produce a record of the number of observations, mean, and standard deviation in each square. Statistics were computed for the annual, seasonal, and monthly compositing periods. Below 50 m depth, if data were more than three standard deviations from the mean, the data were flagged and withheld from further use in objective analyses. Above 50 m depth, a five-standard-deviation criterion was used in five-degree squares that contained any land area. In selected five-degree squares that are close to land areas, a four-standard-deviation check was used. In all other squares a three-standard-deviation criterion was used for the 0-50 m depth layer. For standard depth levels situated directly above the ocean bottom, a four-standard-deviation criterion was used.

The reason for the relatively weaker standard deviation criterion in coastal and near-coastal regions is the exceptionally large variability in the coastal five-degree square statistics for some variables. Frequency distributions of some variables in some coastal regions are observed to be skewed or bimodal. Thus to avoid flagging possibly good data in highly variable
environments, the standard deviation criteria were broadened.

For each nutrient variable, the total number of measurements in each profile, as well as the total number of nutrient observations exceeding the standard deviation criterion, were recorded. If more than two nutrient observations in a profile were found to exceed the standard deviation criterion, then the entire profile was flagged. This check was imposed after tests indicated that surface data from particular casts (which upon inspection appeared to be erroneous) were being flagged but deeper data were not. Other situations were found where erroneous nutrient data from the deeper portion of a cast were flagged, while near-surface data from the same cast were not flagged because of larger natural variability in surface layers. One reason for this was the decrease of the number of nutrient observations with depth and the resulting change in sample statistics. The standard-deviation check was applied twice to the data set for each compositing period.

In summary, first the five-degree square statistics were computed, and the data flagging procedure described above was used to provide a preliminary data set. Next, new five-degree-square statistics were computed from this preliminary data set and used with the same statistical check to produce a new, “clean” data set. The reason for applying the statistical check twice was to flag (and withhold from further use), in the first round, any grossly erroneous or non-representative data from the data set that would artificially increase the variances. The second check is then more effective in identifying smaller, but non-representative, observations.

2.2.4. Subjective flagging of data

The nutrient data were averaged by one-degree squares for input to the objective analysis program. After initial objective analyses were computed, the input set of one-degree means still contained questionable data contributing to unrealistic distributions, yielding intense bull's-eyes or spatial gradients. Examination of these features indicated that some of them were due to profiles from particular oceanographic cruises. In such cases, data from an entire cruise were flagged and withheld from further use by setting a flag on each profile from the cruise. In other cases, individual profiles or measurements were found to cause these features and were flagged.

2.2.5. Representativeness of the data

Another quality control issue is nutrient data representativeness. The general paucity of data forces the compositing of all historical nutrient data to produce “climatological” fields. In a given one-degree square, there may be data from a month or season of one particular year, while in the same or a nearby square there may be data from an entirely different year. If there is large interannual variability in a region where scattered sampling in time has occurred, then one can expect the analysis to reflect this. Because the observations are scattered randomly with respect to time, except for a few limited areas (i.e., time series stations such as Hawaii Ocean Time Series, Bermuda Atlantic Time Series, CARIACO), the results cannot, in a strict sense, be considered a true long-term climatological average.

We present smoothed analyses of historical means, based (in certain areas) on relatively few observations. We believe, however, that useful information about the oceans can be gained through our procedures and that the large-scale features are representative of the real ocean. We believe that, if a hypothetical global synoptic set of ocean data (temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, nutrients, etc) existed and one were to
smooth these data to the same degree as we have smoothed the historical means overall, the large-scale features would be similar to our results. Some differences would certainly occur because of interannual-to-decadal-scale variability.

The nutrient observations diminish in number with increasing depth. In the upper ocean, the all-data annual mean distributions are reasonable for defining large-scale features, but for the seasonal and monthly periods, the data base is inadequate in some regions. With respect to the deep ocean, in some areas the distribution of observations may be adequate for some diagnostic computations but inadequate for other purposes. If an isolated deep basin or some region of the deep ocean has only one observation, then no horizontal gradient computations are meaningful. However, useful information is provided by the observation in the computation of other quantities (e.g., a volumetric mean over a major ocean basin).

3. DATA PROCESSING PROCEDURES

3.1. Vertical interpolation to standard levels

Vertical interpolation of observed depth level data to standard depth levels followed procedures in JPOTS Editorial Panel (1991). These procedures are in part based on the work of Reiniger and Ross (1968). Four observed depth level values surrounding the standard depth level value were used, two values from above the standard level and two values from below the standard level. The pair of values furthest from the standard level are termed “exterior” points and the pair of values closest to the standard level are termed “interior” points. Paired parabolas were generated via Lagrangian interpolation. A reference curve was fitted to the four data points and used to define unacceptable interpolations caused by “overshooting” in the interpolation. When there were too few data points above or below the standard level to apply the Reiniger and Ross technique, we used a three-point Lagrangian interpolation. If three points were not available (either two above and one below or vice-versa), we used linear interpolation. In the event that an observation occurred exactly at the depth of a standard level, then a direct substitution was made. Table 2 provides the range of acceptable distances for which observed level data could be used for interpolation to a standard level.

3.2. Methods of analysis

3.2.1. Overview

An objective analysis scheme of the type described by Barnes (1964) was used to produce the fields shown in this atlas. This scheme had its origins in the work of Cressman (1959). In World Ocean Atlas 1994 (WOA94), the Barnes (1973) scheme was used. This required only one “correction” to the first-guess field at each grid point in comparison to the successive correction method of Cressman (1959) and Barnes (1964). This was to minimize computing time used in the processing. Barnes (1994) recommends a return to a multi-pass analysis when computing time is not an issue. Based on our own experience we agree with this assessment. The single pass analysis, used in WOA94, caused an artificial front in the Southeastern Pacific Ocean in a data sparse area (Anne Marie Treguier, personal communication). The analysis scheme used in generating WOA98, WOA01, WOA05, and WOA09 analyses uses a three-pass “correction” which does not result in the creation of this artificial front.

Inputs to the analysis scheme were one-degree square means of data values at
standard levels (for time period and variable being analyzed), and a first-guess value for each square. For instance, one-degree square means for our annual analysis were computed using all available data regardless of date of observation. For July, we used all historical July data regardless of year of observation.

Analysis was the same for all standard depth levels. Each one-degree latitude-longitude square value was defined as being representative of its square. The 360x180 gridpoints are located at the intersection of half-degree lines of latitude and longitude. An influence radius was then specified. At those grid points where there was an observed mean value, the difference between the mean and the first-guess at the square was computed. Next, a correction to the first-guess value at all gridpoints was computed as a distance-weighted mean of all gridpoint difference values that lie within the area around the gridpoint defined by the influence radius. Mathematically, the correction factor derived by Barnes (1964) is given by the expression:

$$ C_{i,j} = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{n} W_s Q_s}{\sum_{s=1}^{n} W_s} $$  \hspace{1cm} (1) $$

in which:

- \((i,j)\) - coordinates of a gridpoint in the east-west and north-south directions respectively;
- \(C_{i,j}\) - the correction factor at gridpoint coordinates \((i,j)\);
- \(n\) - the number of observations that fall within the area around the point \(i,j\) defined by the influence radius;
- \(Q_s\) - the difference between the observed mean and the first-guess at the \(S^{th}\) point in the influence area;
- \(W_s\) - a weight function defined by:

$$ W_s = e^{-\frac{r^2}{R^2}} \quad \text{(for } r \leq R; \ W_s = 0 \text{ for } r > R) $$

- \(r\) - distance of the observation from the gridpoint;
- \(R\) - influence radius;
- \(E = 4\).

The derivation of the weight function, \(W_s\), will be presented in the following section. At each gridpoint we computed an analyzed value \(G_{i,j}\) as the sum of the first-guess, \(F_{i,j}\), and the correction \(C_{i,j}\). The expression for this is

$$ G_{i,j} = F_{i,j} + C_{i,j} $$  \hspace{1cm} (2) $$

If there were no data points within the area defined by the influence radius, then the correction was zero, the first-guess field was left unchanged, and the analyzed value was simply the first-guess value. This correction procedure was applied at all gridpoints to produce an analyzed field. The resulting field was first smoothed with a median filter (Tukey, 1974; Rabiner et al., 1975) and then smoothed with a five-point smoother of the type described by Shuman (1957) (hereafter referred as five-point Shuman smoother).

The choice of first-guess fields is important and we discuss our procedures in section 3.2.5.

The analysis scheme is set up so that the influence radius, and the number of five-point smoothing passes can be varied with each iteration. The strategy used is to begin the analysis with a large influence radius and decrease it with each iteration. This technique allows us to analyze progressively smaller scale phenomena with each iteration.

The analysis scheme is based on the work of several researchers analyzing meteorological data. Bergthorsson and Doos (1955) computed corrections to a first-guess field using various techniques: one assumed that the difference between a first-guess value...
and an analyzed value at a gridpoint was the same as the difference between an observation and a first-guess value at a nearby observing station. All the observed differences in an area surrounding the gridpoint were then averaged and added to the gridpoint first-guess value to produce an analyzed value. Cressman (1959) applied a distance-related weight function to each observation used in the correction in order to give more weight to observations that occur closest to the gridpoint. In addition, Cressman introduced the method of performing several iterations of the analysis scheme using the analysis produced in each iteration as the first-guess field for the next iteration. He also suggested starting the analysis with a relatively large influence radius and decreasing it with successive iterations so as to analyze smaller scale phenomena with each pass.

Sasaki (1960) introduced a weight function that was specifically related to the density of observations, and Barnes (1964, 1973) extended the work of Sasaki. The weight function of Barnes (1964) has been used here. The objective analysis scheme we used is in common use by the mesoscale meteorological community. Several studies of objective analysis techniques have been made. Achtenmeier (1987) examined the “concept of varying influence radii for a successive corrections objective analysis scheme.” Seaman (1983) compared the “objective analysis accuracies of statistical interpolation and successive correction schemes.” Smith and Leslie (1984) performed an “error determination of a successive correction type objective analysis scheme.” Smith et al. (1986) made “a comparison of errors in objectively analyzed fields for uniform and non-uniform station distribution.”

3.2.2. Derivation of Barnes (1964) weight function

The principle upon which the Barnes (1964) weight function is derived is that “the two-dimensional distribution of an atmospheric variable can be represented by the summation of an infinite number of independent harmonic waves, that is, by a Fourier integral representation”. If \( f(x,y) \) is the variable, then in polar coordinates \((r,\theta)\), a smoothed or filtered function \( g(x,y) \) can be defined:

\[
g(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta f(x + r \cos \theta, y + r \sin \theta) \, d\theta \, dr(3)
\]

in which \( r \) is the radial distance from a gridpoint whose coordinates are \((x,y)\). The weight function is defined as

\[
\eta = e^{-\frac{r^2}{4K}}(4)
\]

which resembles the Gaussian distribution. The shape of the weight function is determined by the value of \( K \), which relates to the distribution of data. The determination of \( K \) follows. The weight function has the property that

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta d \left( \frac{r^2}{4K} \right) d\theta = 1 \tag{5}
\]

This property is desirable because in the continuous case (3) the application of the weight function to the distribution \( f(x,y) \) will not change the mean of the distribution. However, in the discrete case (1), we only sum the contributions to within the distance \( R \). This introduces an error in the evaluation of the filtered function, because the condition given by (5) does not apply. The error can be pre-determined and set to a reasonably small value in the following
manner. If one carries out the integration in (5) with respect to \( \theta \), the remaining integral can be rewritten as

\[
R \int_0^\infty \eta d \left( \frac{r^2}{4K} \right) + \int_R \eta d \left( \frac{r^2}{4K} \right) = 1
\]  

(6)

Defining the second integral as \( \varepsilon \) yields

\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{r^2}{4K}} d \left( \frac{r^2}{4K} \right) = 1 - \varepsilon
\]  

(7)

Integrating (7), we obtain

\[
\varepsilon = e^{-\frac{R^2}{4K}}
\]  

(7a)

Taking the natural logarithm of both sides of (7a) leads to an expression for \( K \),

\[
K = R^2 / 4E
\]  

(7b)

where \( E \equiv -\ln \varepsilon \)

Rewriting (4) using (7b) leads to the form of weight function used in the evaluation of (1). Thus, choice of \( E \) and the specification of \( R \) determine the shape of the weight function. Levitus (1982) chose \( E=4 \) which corresponds to a value of \( \varepsilon \) of approximately 0.02. This choice implies with respect to (7) the representation of more than 98 percent of the influence of any data around the gridpoint in the area defined by the influence radius \( R \). This analysis (WOA09) and previous analyses (WOA94, WOA98, WOA01, WOA05, WOA09) used \( E=4 \).

Barnes (1964) proposed using this scheme in an iterative fashion similar to Cressman (1959). Levitus (1982) used a four-iteration scheme with a variable influence radius for each pass. WOA94 used a one-iteration scheme. WOA98, WOA01, WOA05, and WOA09 employed a three-iteration scheme with a variable influence radius.

### 3.2.3. Derivation of Barnes (1964) response function

It is desirable to know the response of a data set to the interpolation procedure applied to it. Following Barnes (1964) and reducing to one-dimensional case we let

\[
f(x) = A \sin(\alpha x)
\]  

(8)

in which \( \alpha = 2\pi/\lambda \) with \( \lambda \) being the wavelength of a particular Fourier component, and substitute this function into equation (3) along with the expression for \( \eta \) in equation (4). Then

\[
g(x) = D[A \sin(\alpha x)] = Df(x)
\]  

(9)

in which \( D \) is the response function for one application of the analysis and defined as

\[
D = e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha R}{4}\right)^2} = e^{-\left(\frac{\pi R}{2\lambda}\right)^2}
\]

The phase of each Fourier component is not changed by the interpolation procedure. The results of an analysis pass are used as the first-guess for the next analysis pass in an iterative fashion. The relationship between the filtered function \( g(x) \) and the response function after \( N \) iterations as derived by Barnes (1964) is

\[
g_N(x) = f(x)D \sum_{n=1}^{N} (1-D)^{n-1}
\]  

(10)

Equation (10) differs trivially from that given by Barnes. The difference is due to our first-guess field being defined as a zonal average, annual mean, seasonal mean, or monthly mean, whereas Barnes used the first application of the analysis as a first-guess. Barnes (1964) also showed that applying the analysis scheme in an iterative fashion will result in convergence of the analyzed field to the observed data field. However, it is not desirable to approach the observed data too closely, because at least seven or eight gridpoints are needed to represent a Fourier component.
The response function given in (10) is useful in two ways: it is informative to know what Fourier components make up the analyses, and the computer programs used in generating the analyses can be checked for correctness by comparison with (10).

3.2.4. Choice of response function

The distribution of nutrient observations (see appendices) at different depths and for the different averaging periods, are not regular in space or time. At one extreme, regions exist in which every one-degree square contains data and no interpolation needs to be performed. At the other extreme are regions in which few if any data exist. Thus, with variable data spacing the average separation distance between gridpoints containing data is a function of geographical position and averaging period. However, if we computed and used a different average separation distance for each variable at each depth and each averaging period, we would be generating analyses in which the wavelengths of observed phenomena might differ from one depth level to another and from one season to another. In WOA94, a fixed influence radius of 555 kilometers was used to allow uniformity in the analysis of all variables. For the present analyses (as well as for WOA98 and WOA01), a three-pass analysis, based on Barnes (1964), with influence radii of 888, 666 and 444 km was used.

Inspection of (1) shows that the difference between the analyzed field and the first-guess field values at any gridpoint is proportional to the sum of the weighted-differences between the observed mean and first-guess at all gridpoints containing data within the influence area.

The reason for using the five-point Shuman smoother and the median smoother is that our data are not evenly distributed in space. As the analysis moves from regions containing data to regions devoid of data, small-scale discontinuities may develop. The five-point Shuman and median smoothers are used to eliminate these discontinuities. The five-point Shuman smoother does not affect the phase of the Fourier components that comprise an analyzed field.

The response function for the analyses presented in the WOA10 series is given in Table 4 and in Figure 1. For comparison purposes, the response function used by Levitus (1982), WOA94, and others are also presented. The response function represents the smoothing inherent in the objective analysis described above plus the effects of one application of the five-point Shuman smoother and one application of a five-point median smoother. The effect of varying the amount of smoothing in North Atlantic sea surface temperature (SST) fields has been quantified by Levitus (1982) for a particular case. In a region of strong SST gradient such as the Gulf Stream, the effect of smoothing can easily be responsible for differences between analyses exceeding 1.0°C.

To avoid the problem of the influence region extending across land or sills to adjacent basins, the objective analysis routine employs basin “identifiers” to preclude the use of data from adjacent basins. Table 5 lists these basins and the depth at which no exchange of information between basins is allowed during the objective analysis of data, i.e., “depths of mutual exclusion.” Some regions are nearly, but not completely, isolated topographically. Because some of these nearly isolated basins have water mass properties that are different from surrounding basins, we have chosen to treat these as isolated basins as well. Not all such basins have been identified because of the complicated structure of the sea floor. In Table 5, a region marked with an “*” can interact with adjacent basins except for special areas such as the Isthmus of Panama.
3.2.5. First-guess field determination

There are gaps in the data coverage and, in some parts of the world ocean, there exist adjacent basins whose water mass properties are individually nearly homogeneous but have distinct basin-to basin differences. Spurious features can be created when an influence area extends over two basins of this nature (basins are listed in Table 5). Our choice of first-guess field attempts to minimize the creation of such features. To provide a first-guess field for the annual analysis at any standard level, we first zonally averaged the observed nutrient data variables in each one-degree latitude belt by individual ocean basins. The annual analysis was then used as the first-guess for each seasonal analysis and each seasonal analysis was used as a first-guess for the appropriate monthly analysis if computed.

We then reanalyzed the data for each nutrient variable using the newly produced analyses as first-guess fields described as follows and as shown in Figure 2. The new annual mean for each nutrient was computed as the mean of the twelve months at all depths, from the surface to 500 m depth (the maximum depth for seasonal and monthly climatologies for these variables). This new annual mean was used as the first-guess field for new seasonal analyses. These new seasonal analyses in turn were used to produce new monthly analyses. This procedure produces slightly smoother means. More importantly we recognize that fairly large data-void regions exist, in some cases to such an extent that a seasonal or monthly analysis in these regions is not meaningful. Geographic distribution of observations for the all-data annual periods (see appendices) is good for the upper layers of the ocean. By using an all-data annual mean, first-guess field regions where data exists for only one season or month will show no contribution to the annual cycle. By contrast, if we used a zonal average for each season or month, then, in those latitudes where gaps exist, the first-guess field would be heavily biased by the few data points that exist. If these were anomalous data in some way, an entire basin-wide belt might be affected.

One advantage of producing “global” fields for a particular compositing period (even though some regions are data void) is that such analyses can be modified by investigators for use in modeling studies. For example, England (1992) noted that the temperature distribution produced by Levitus (1982) for the Antarctic is too high (due to a lack of winter data for the Southern Hemisphere) to allow for the formation of Antarctic Intermediate Water in an ocean general circulation model. By increasing the temperature of the “observed” field the model was able to produce this water mass.

3.3. Choice of objective analysis procedures

Optimum interpolation (Gandin, 1963) has been used by some investigators to objectively analyze oceanographic data. We recognize the power of this technique but have not used it to produce analyzed fields. As described by Gandin (1963), optimum interpolation is used to analyze synoptic data using statistics based on historical data. In particular, second-order statistics such as correlation functions are used to estimate the distribution of first order parameters such as means. We attempt to map most fields in this atlas based on relatively sparse data sets. By necessity we must composite all nutrient data regardless of year of observation, to have enough data to produce a global, hemispheric, or regional analysis for a particular month, season, or even yearly. Because of the paucity of nutrient data, we prefer not to use an analysis scheme that is based on second order statistics. In addition, as Gandin has noted, there are two limiting cases associated with optimum interpolation.
The first is when a data distribution is dense. In this case, the choice of interpolation scheme makes little difference. The second case is when data are sparse. In this case, an analysis scheme based on second order statistics is of questionable value. For additional information on objective analysis procedures see Thiebaux and Pedder (1987) and Daley (1991).

3.4. Choice of spatial grid

The analyses that comprise WOA10 have been computed using the ETOPO5 land-sea topography to define ocean depths at each gridpoint (ETOPO5, 1988). From the ETOPO5 land mask, a quarter-degree land mask was created based on ocean bottom depth and land criteria. If four or more 5-minute square values out of a possible nine in a one-quarter-degree box were defined as land, then the quarter-degree gridbox was defined to be land. If no more than two of the five-minute squares had the same depth value in a quarter-degree box, then the average value of the 5-minute ocean depths in that box was defined to be the depth of the quarter-degree gridbox. If three or more 5-minute squares out of the nine had a common bottom depth, then the depth of the quarter-degree box was set to the most common depth value. The same method was used to go from a quarter-degree to a one-degree resolution. In the one-degree resolution case, at least four points out of a possible sixteen (in a one-degree square) had to be land in order for the one-degree square to remain land and three out of sixteen had to have the same depth for the ocean depth to be set. These criteria yielded a mask that was then modified by:

a) Connecting the Isthmus of Panama,
b) Maintaining an opening in the Straits of Gibraltar and in the English Channel,
c) Connecting the Kamchatka Peninsula and the Baja Peninsula to their respective continents.

4. RESULTS

The appendices in this atlas include three types of black and white horizontal maps as a function of selected standard depth levels for phosphate, nitrate, and silicate, respectively:

a) Number of observations in each one-degree latitude-longitude grid used in the objective analysis binned into 1 to 5 and greater than 5 numbers of observations. Each map includes the total number of observations.

b) Objectively analyzed distribution fields. One-degree grids for which there were less than three values available in the objective analysis defined by the influence radius are denoted by a “+” symbol.

c) Seasonal and monthly difference fields from the annual mean field. One-degree grids for which there were less than three values available in the objective analysis defined by the influence radius are denoted by a “+” symbol.

The maps are arranged by composite time periods (annual, seasonal, month). Table 5 describes all available nutrient maps and data fields. The table of contents includes a list of maps included in the appendices. We note that the complete set of all maps (in color), objectively analyzed fields, associated statistical fields at all standard depth levels shown in Table 1 on DVD by sending an e-mail request to NODC.Services@noaa.gov and on-line at www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/indprod.html.

The maps use consistent symbols and notations for displaying information. Continents are displayed as solid black areas. Coastal and open ocean areas shallower than the standard depth level
being displayed are shown as solid light gray areas. The objectively analyzed fields include the minimum and maximum values and the contour interval used. The maps may include additional contour lines displayed as dashed black lines. All of the maps were computer drafted using Generic Mapping Tools (Wessel and Smith, 1998).

We describe next the computation of annual and seasonal fields (section 4.1) and available objective and statistical fields (section 4.2).

4.1. Computation of annual and seasonal fields

After completion of all of our analyses we define a final annual analysis as the average of our twelve monthly mean nutrient fields in the upper 500 m of the ocean (Figure 2). Our final seasonal analysis is defined as the average of monthly analyses in the upper 500 m of the ocean.

4.2. Available statistical fields

Table 5 lists all statistical fields calculated as part of this atlas. Climatologies of oceanographic variables and associated statistics described in this document, as well as global figures can be obtained on DVD by sending an e-mail request to NODC.Services@noaa.gov and on-line at http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/WOD09/pr_wod09.html. A user could take the standard depth level data from WOD09 with flags and these masks, and recreate the data fields following the procedures outlined in this document. Explanations and data file formats are found on-line under documentation on the WOA09 webpage.

The sample standard deviation in a gridbox was computed using:

\[
s = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \bar{x})^2}{N - 1}} \tag{11}
\]

in which \(x_n\) = the \(n^{th}\) data value in the gridbox, \(\bar{x}\) = mean of all data values in the gridbox, and \(N\) = total number of data values in the gridbox. The standard error of the mean was computed by dividing the standard deviation by the square root of the number of observations in each gridbox.

In addition to statistical fields, the land/ocean bottom mask and basin definition mask are available on-line at http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/WOD09/pr_wod09.html. A user could take the standard depth level data from WOD09 with flags and these masks, and recreate the data fields following the procedures outlined in this document. Explanations and data file formats are found on-line under documentation on the WOA09 webpage.

4.3. Obtaining WOA09 fields online

The objective and statistical data fields can be obtained online in different digital formats at the WOA09 webpage (http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/WOA09/pr_woa09.html) and on DVD by sending a request to NODC.Services@noaa.gov. The WOA09 fields can be obtained in ASCII format (WOA native and comma separated value [CSV]) and netCDF through our WOA09 web page. For users interested in specific geographic areas, the World Ocean Atlas Select (WOAselect) selection tool can be used to designate a subset geographic area, depth, and oceanographic variable to view and optionally download climatological means or related statistics in shapefile format which is compatible with GIS software such as ArcMap. WOA09 includes a digital collection of "JPEG" and high resolution graphic (PDF) images of the objective and statistical fields. In addition, WOA09 can be obtained in Ocean Data View (ODV) format (http://odv.awi.de/). WOA09 will be available through other online locations as well. WOA98, WOA01, and WOA05 are presently served through the IRI/LDEO Climate Data Library with access to statistical and objectively analyzed fields in a variety of digital formats (http://iridl.ldeo.columbia.edu/).
5. SUMMARY

In the preceding sections we have described the results of a project to objectively analyze all historical nutrient data in WOD09. We desire to build a set of climatological analyses that are identical in all respects for all variables in WOA09 including relatively data sparse variables such as nutrients. This provides investigators with a consistent set of analyses to work with.

One advantage of the analysis techniques used in this atlas is that we know the amount of smoothing by objective analyses as given by the response function in Table 3 and Figure 1. We believe this to be an important function for constructing and describing a climatology of any parameter. Particularly when computing anomalies from a standard climatology, it is important that the field be smoothed to the same extent as the climatology, to prevent generation of spurious anomalies simply through differences in smoothing. A second reason is that purely diagnostic computations require a minimum of seven or eight gridpoints to represent any Fourier component. Higher order derivatives might require more data smoothing.

We have attempted to create objectively analyzed fields and data sets that can be used as a “black box.” We emphasize that some quality control procedures used are subjective. For those users who wish to make their own choices, all the data used in our analyses are available both at standard depth levels as well as observed depth levels (http://www.nodc.noaa.gov/OC5/WOD09/pr_wod09.html). The results presented in this nutrient atlas show some features that are suspect and may be due to non-representative data that were not flagged by the quality control techniques used. Although we have attempted to eliminate as many of these “features” as possible by flagging the data which generate these features, some obviously could remain. Some may eventually turn out not to be artifacts but rather to represent real features, not yet capable of being described in a meaningful way due to lack of data. If any errors are found in this atlas, or for providing comments or suggestions please contact the Ocean Climate Laboratory (OCL) at OCL.help@noaa.gov. The views, findings, and any errors in this report are those of the authors.

6. FUTURE WORK

Our analyses will be updated when justified by additional water column nutrient observations. As more oceanographic nutrient data are received at NODC/WDC, we will also be able to extend the seasonal and monthly nutrient analysis to deeper levels and also to increase the number of vertical depth levels.
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Table 1. Descriptions of climatologies for each nutrient variable in WOA09. The climatologies have been calculated based on bottle data (OSD) from WOD09. The standard depth levels are shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCEANOGRAPHIC VARIABLE</th>
<th>DEPTHS FOR ANNUAL CLIMATOLOGY</th>
<th>DEPTHS FOR SEASONAL CLIMATOLOGY</th>
<th>DEPTHS FOR MONTHLY CLIMATOLOGY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate (N+N), Phosphate, and Silicate</td>
<td>0-5500 m (33 levels)</td>
<td>0-500 m (14 levels)</td>
<td>0-500 m (14 levels)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Acceptable distances (m) for defining interior and exterior values used in the Reiniger-Ross scheme for interpolating observed level data to standard levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Level number</th>
<th>Standard depths (m)</th>
<th>Acceptable distances (m) for interior values</th>
<th>Acceptable distances (m) for exterior values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Response function of the objective analysis scheme as a function of wavelength for WOA09 and earlier analyses. Response function is normalized to 1.0.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength*</th>
<th>Levitus (1982)</th>
<th>WOA94</th>
<th>WOA98, 01, 05, 09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>360ΔX</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180ΔX</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120ΔX</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90ΔX</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72ΔX</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60ΔX</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45ΔX</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40ΔX</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36ΔX</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30ΔX</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24ΔX</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20ΔX</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18ΔX</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15ΔX</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12ΔX</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10ΔX</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9ΔX</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8ΔX</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6ΔX</td>
<td>3.75x10⁻²</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5ΔX</td>
<td>1.34x10⁻²</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4ΔX</td>
<td>1.32x10⁻³</td>
<td>2.23x10⁻³</td>
<td>2.75x10⁻²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3ΔX</td>
<td>2.51x10⁻³</td>
<td>1.90x10⁻⁴</td>
<td>5.41x10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2ΔX</td>
<td>5.61x10⁻⁷</td>
<td>5.30x10⁻⁷</td>
<td>1.36x10⁻⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For ΔX = 111 km, the meridional separation at the Equator.
Table 4. Basins defined for objective analysis and the shallowest standard depth level for which each basin is defined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>Standard Depth Level</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>Basin</th>
<th>Standard Depth Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Atlantic Ocean</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>North American Basin</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pacific Ocean</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>West European Basin</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Indian Ocean</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Southeast Indian Basin</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Coral Sea</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Baltic Sea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>East Indian Basin</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Black Sea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Central Indian Basin</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Red Sea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Southwest Atlantic Basin</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Persian Gulf</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Southeast Atlantic Basin</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hudson Bay</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Southeast Pacific Basin</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Southern Ocean</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Guatemala Basin</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Arctic Ocean</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>East Caroline Basin</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Sea of Japan</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Marianas Basin</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Kara Sea</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Philippine Sea</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Sulu Sea</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Arabian Sea</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Baffin Bay</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Chile Basin</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>East Mediterranean</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Somali Basin</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>West Mediterranean</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Mascarene Basin</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Sea of Okhotsk</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Crozet Basin</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Banda Sea</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Guinea Basin</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Caribbean Sea</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Brazil Basin</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Andaman Basin</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Argentine Basin</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>North Caribbean</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Tasman Sea</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Atlantic Indian Basin</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Beaufort Sea</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Caspian Sea</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>South China Sea</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Sulu Sea II</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Barents Sea</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Venezuela Basin</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Celebes Sea</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Bay of Bengal</td>
<td>1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Aleutian Basin</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Java Sea</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Fiji Basin</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>East Indian Atlantic Basin</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Basins marked with a “*” can interact with adjacent basins in the objective analysis.
Table 5. Objective and statistical data fields calculated as part of WOA09 (“√” denotes field was calculated and is publicly available).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATISTICAL FIELD</th>
<th>ONE-DEGREE FIELD CALCULATED</th>
<th>FIVE-DEGREE FIELD CALCULATED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objectively analyzed climatology</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical mean</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observations</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal (monthly) climatology minus annual climatology</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation from statistical mean</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard error of the statistical mean</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical mean minus objectively analyzed climatology</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of mean values within radius of influence</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Response function of the WOA09, WOA05, WOA01, WOA98, WOA94, and Levitus (1982) objective analysis schemes.
Figure 2. Scheme used in computing annual, seasonal, and monthly objectively analyzed means for phosphate, silicate, and nitrate.
8. APPENDICES

8.1 Appendix A: Maps of the annual number of observations and distribution of phosphate at selected depth levels (pages 25 to 48).

8.2 Appendix B: Maps of the seasonal (winter, summer, fall, spring) number of observations, seasonal distribution, and seasonal minus annual distribution of phosphate at selected depth levels (pages 49 to 88).

8.3 Appendix C: Maps of the monthly number of observations, monthly distribution, and monthly minus annual distribution of phosphate at selected depth levels (pages 88 to 147).

8.4 Appendix D: Maps of the annual number of observations of nitrate at selected depth levels (pages 149 to 172).

8.5 Appendix E: Maps of the seasonal (winter, summer, fall, spring) number of observations, seasonal distribution, and seasonal minus annual distribution of nitrate at selected depth levels (pages 173 to 212).

8.6 Appendix F: Maps of the monthly number of observations, monthly distribution, and monthly minus annual distribution of nitrate at selected depth levels (pages 213 to 272).

8.7 Appendix G: Maps of the annual number of observations of silicate at selected depth levels (pages 273 to 296).

8.8 Appendix H: Maps of the seasonal (winter, summer, fall, spring) number of observations, seasonal distribution, and seasonal minus annual distribution of silicate at selected depth levels (pages 297 to 336).

8.9 Appendix I: Maps of the monthly number of observations, monthly distribution, and monthly minus annual distribution of silicate at selected depth levels (pages 337 to 396).